02-AltoPass-Zuc

OBJECTIVE:

Evaluate crop response and weed control with herbicides with and without a winter rye covercrop in no-till zucchini.

SUMMARY:

Excessive injury and stunting of zucchini was observed from Sandea, Strategy plus Sandea, and Strategy plus Frontier. Injury from these treatments tended to be greater when no winter rye cover crop was used. Strategy plus Raptor also caused some minor but acceptable levels of injury and stunting to zucchini plants.

Most herbicide treatments provided excellent control of redroot pigweed and smooth crabgrass at 28 days after planting (DAP) regardless of the presence or absence of winter ryegrass. However, smooth crabgrass control was poor from Sandea alone by 56 DAP. Tillage with the standard preemergence application of Strategy generally provided the best overall weed control.

The use of winter rye appeared to decrease zucchini yields, as the handweeded treatments with winter rye tended to have lower yields compared to the handweeded treatments without winter rye. Zucchini yield was reduced in plots treated with Sandea or Sandea plus another herbicide, most likely due to the significant amounts of zucchini injury and stunting caused by Sandea. Zucchini yield was reduced in Strategy plus Frontier treated plots when no cover crop was used but not when winter rye was used. When tillage was used, the Strategy treatments provided high yields regardless of the presence of absence of a winter rye cover crop; however, under no-tillage conditions, the Strategy treatments provided high yields without winter rye and low yields with winter rye. Strategy plus Raptor was among the highest yielding treatments in no-tillage and provided consistent results regardless of whether a winter rye cover crop was used or not.

HERBICIDES/TILLAGES/COVER CROP

WEEDS

CROP

FRONTIER 6.0 6 EC RAPTOR 1 AS SANDEA 75 WG STRATEGY 2.1 EC NO-TILL TILLAGE PRIOR TO SPRAY NO COVER CROP WHEELER WINTER RYE COVER CROP crabgrass, smooth pigweed, redroot

squash,zucchini

Bryan Young

PLANT, SOIL AND GENERAL AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY

Project Code: 02-AltoPass-Zuc Location: Alto Pass, IL

Investigator: Bryan Young, Assistant Professor, Southern Illinois University

City State Zip Country: Alto Pass IL 62905 USA
Trial Status: Final Updated: 11-6-02

Objective:

Evaluate crop response and weed control with herbicides with and without a Wheeler winter rye covercrop in no-till zucchini.

Weed Code Common Name Scientific Name

1. AMARE pigweed, redroot Amaranthus retroflexus L.

2. DIGIS crabgrass, smooth Digitaria ischaemum (Schreb. ex Schweig)

Crop 1: CUUPG squash, zucchini Variety: Independence II

Planting Method: Transplant Planting Date: 5-3-02

Rate: 0.5 P/ROW-FT

Row Spacing: 36 IN

Plot Width, Unit: 9 FT Plot Length, Unit: 10 FT Reps: 4

Tillage Type: No-Till Study Design: Split-plot

Previous Crop, Year: CUUPG, 2001

Field Prep./Maintenance: N 75 LB/A, P2O5 40 LB/A, K2O 40 LB/A

APPLICATION DESCRIPTION

Application Date: 4-29-02
Time of Day: 14:00
Application Method: Spray
Application Timing: PRE
Applic. Placement: BROSOI
Air Temp., Unit: 68 F
% Relative Humidity: 50
Wind Velocity, Unit: 3-5 MPH

Dew Presence (Y/N): N
Soil Temp., Unit: 71 F
Soil Moisture: NORMAL
% Cloud Cover: 20

CROP STAGE AT EACH APPLICATION

Α

Crop 1 Code, Stage: CUUPG NA
 Height, Unit: NA NA

WEED STAGE AT EACH APPLICATION

A

Weed 1 Code: NA

APPLICATION EQUIPMENT

Appl. Equipment: CO2 sprayer
Operating Pressure: 40 PSI
Nozzle Type: Flat fan
Nozzle Size: 8003
Boom Length, Unit: 7.5 FT
Spray Volume, Unit: 20 GPA

NOTES:

Target PRE application for May 2, transplant May 3. Harvested Jun-6-02 to Jul-2-02.

Project Code: 02-AltoPass-Zuc Location: Alto Pass, IL

Weed Code Crop Code Rating Data Type Rating Unit Rating Date Trt-Eval Interval Trt Treatment No. Name	Form Form Conc Type	Rate Rate Unit	Prod Prod Grow Appl Rate Unit Stg Code	CUUPG Fruit No./A	Fruit	Injury Percent 5-17-02	Injury Percent 5-31-02	Injury Percent 6-28-02	Stunt Percent 5-17-02	Stunt	Stunt Percent 6-28-02	Control Percent 5-31-02	AMARE Control Percent 6-28-02 56 DAP	Percent 5-31-02	Control Percent 6-28-02	
1 WHEELER WINTER RYE CC 1 TILLAGE PRIOR TO SPRAY 1 STRATEGY	2.1 EC	1.313 LB A/A	. 2.5 QT/A PRE A	37571	15518	0	0	0	0	0	0	99	88	99	95	
2 WHEELER WINTER RYE CC 2 NO-TILL 2 STRATEGY	2.1 EC	1.313 LB A/A	a 2.5 QT/A PRE A	30674	12451	0	3	0	0	3	0	99	75	99	80	
3 WHEELER WINTER RYE CC 3 NO-TILL 3 SANDEA	75 WG	0.031 LB A/A	0.66 OZ/A PRE A	17606	6244	0	48	26	0	48	26	98	71	85	45	
4 WHEELER WINTER RYE CC 4 NO-TILL 4 STRATEGY 4 SANDEA			. 2.5 QT/A PRE A . 0.66 OZ/A PRE A	22506	9474	0	50	19	0	49	19	99	80	96	79	
5 WHEELER WINTER RYE CC 5 NO-TILL 5 STRATEGY 5 RAPTOR			. 2.5 QT/A PRE A . 4.6 OZ/A PRE A	44105	18114	0	16	0	0	16	0	98	79	98	69	
6 WHEELER WINTER RYE CC 6 NO-TILL 6 STRATEGY 6 FRONTIER 6.0	2.1 EC 6 EC		. 2.5 QT/A PRE A . 1.33 QT/A PRE A	35211	14502	0	19	0	0	19	0	98	59	99	78	
7 WHEELER WINTER RYE CC 7 NO-TILL 7 NONTREATED				30674	11162	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
8 WHEELER WINTER RYE CC 8 NO-TILL 8 HANDWEED				39204	15863	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	99	0	99	
9 NO COVER CROP 9 TILLAGE PRIOR TO SPRAY 9 STRATEGY	2.1 EC	1.313 LB A/A	2.5 QT/A PRE A	45920	17442	0	6	0	0	6	0	99	96	99	95	
10 NO COVER CROP 10 NO-TILL 10 STRATEGY	2.1 EC	1.313 LB A/A	2.5 QT/A PRE A	54995	23504	0	0	0	0	0	0	99	91	99	92	

C	П
1	_
'n	

Weed Code Crop Code Rating Data Type Rating Unit Rating Date Trt-Eval Interval	Form Form Rate Prod Prod Grow Appl	Fruit No./A	Fruit	Injury Percent 5-17-02	Injury Percent 5-31-02	Injury Percent 6-28-02	Stunt Percent 5-17-02	CUUPG Stunt Percent 5-31-02 28 DAP	Stunt Percent 6-28-02	Control Percent 5-31-02	Percent 6-28-02	DIGIS Control Percent 5-31-02 28 DAP	Percent 6-28-02	
No. Name	Conc Type Rate Unit Rate Unit Stg Code	!												
11 NO COVER CROP 11 NO-TILL 11 SANDEA	75 WG 0.031 LB A/A 0.66 OZ/A PRE A	14339	5790	0	56	18	0	53	18	99	90	90	50	
12 NO COVER CROP 12 NO-TILL 12 STRATEGY 12 SANDEA	2.1 EC 1.313 LB A/A 2.5 QT/A PRE A 75 WG 0.031 LB A/A 0.66 OZ/A PRE A	19965	7514	0	60	20	0	54	20	99	92	99	89	
13 NO COVER CROP 13 NO-TILL 13 STRATEGY 13 RAPTOR	2.1 EC 1.313 LB A/A 2.5 QT/A PRE A 1 AS 0.036 LB A/A 4.6 OZ/A PRE A	46464	18495	0	10	0	0	10	0	99	96	99	86	
14 NO COVER CROP 14 NO-TILL 14 STRATEGY 14 FRONTIER 6.0	2.1 EC 1.313 LB A/A 2.5 QT/A PRE A 6 EC 2.0 LB A/A 1.33 QT/A PRE A	27044	11289	0	45	15	0	43	15	99	89	99	90	Ćι
15 NO COVER CROP 15 NO-TILL 15 NONTREATED		46646	19983	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	544
16 NO COVER CROP 16 NO-TILL 16 HANDWEED		51728	20401	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	99	0	99	
LSD (P=.05)		11656.8	4937.8	0.0	11.8	4.1	0.0	11.2	4.1	0.8	12.5	6.5	13.4	
Replicate F Replicate Prob(F) Treatment F Treatment Prob(F)		14.026 0.0001 9.555 0.0001	16.516 0.0001 9.585 0.0001	0.000 1.0000 0.000 1.0000	31.962	0.2100	0.000 1.0000 0.000 1.0000	1.655 0.1902 31.297 0.0001	44.272	23180.973	51.136		46.646	

Project Code: 02-AltoPass-Zuc Location: Alto Pass, IL

Trial Comments

- 1. Protocol: SIU (SAW).
- 2. All plots mowed and sprayed (glyphosate 2.0 %) on April 8.
- 3. Fruit = marketable harvested squash. DAP = days after planting. 14, 28, and 56 DAP was also 18, 32, and 60 days after PRE application.
- 4. Regarding weed control ratings, weed populations were sporadic and non-uniform, especially AMARE.
- 5. Cover crop residue was somewhat dispersed by heavy rainfall, was generally sparse, and did not contribute to weed control.
- 6. Handweeded plots were handweeded after the 28 DAP ratings.